

1 Honorable Richard A. Jones  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
10  
11 v.  
12 CRAIG T. CARR,  
13  
14

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

NO. 10-0222 RAJ

DEFENDANT CRAIG CARR'S REPLY  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF HIS  
MOTION TO VACATE IMPOSITION OF  
FINE

**NOTED FOR HEARING:  
JUNE 29, 2012**

15  
16 **INTRODUCTION**

17 Mr. Carr respectfully requests that this Court vacate the fine it imposed at the December  
18 10, 2010 Sentencing Hearing. This relief is consistent with the Court's intent at the time the fine  
19 was initially imposed, a fact not disputed by the Government. Moreover, the objections raised  
20 by the Government do not apply and do not warrant denial of the requested relief.

21  
22 **DISCUSSION**

23 The Government does not dispute the merits of Mr. Carr's request, nor the underlying  
24 basis—that, at the time of the Sentencing Hearing—this Court would have been “in a different  
25 position [had Mr. Carr's divorce been final at that time] and would give greater consideration  
26 for the opportunity not to impose the fine” and that this Court may make the application [to

DEFENDANT CRAIG CARR'S REPLY  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF HIS  
MOTION TO VACATE IMPOSITION OF FINE – 1

12414 00101 of293632cw

skellengerbender

1301 - Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2605  
(206) 623-6501

1 reduce or vacate the fine] when that [the finalization of Mr. Carr's divorce] takes place.”<sup>1</sup> It  
 2 is also worth noting that the Government raised no objection to this determination at the time  
 3 of the Sentencing Hearing.

4       Mr. Carr's divorce became final during the time his appeal was pending in the Ninth  
 5 Circuit, thereby precluding his ability to bring his present motion before this Court. The  
 6 Ninth Circuit has since, however, resolved Mr. Carr's appeal and remanded this matter back  
 7 to this Court.

8       The objections raised by the Government do not prevent this Court from granting Mr.  
 9 Carr's requested relief as they do not apply. As an initial matter, it should be noted that Mr.  
 10 Carr is simply asking that this Court impose a fine in the amount it apparently intended to at  
 11 the time of the Sentencing Hearing, but was unable or unwilling to as Mr. Carr's divorce was  
 12 not final at that time. Given the changed circumstances, however, Mr. Carr's Motion is now  
 13 timely.

14       Moreover, Mr. Carr's Motion is not one asking for a “re-sentence” or to “correct” the  
 15 sentence differently than what was intended. Rather, Mr. Carr is simply asking that this Court  
 16 reduce, or vacate, the fine consistent with this Court appeared to intend to do at the time of  
 17 sentencing, but did not do because the circumstances at the time did not warrant a smaller, or  
 18 no, fine.

19       Finally, the Government's objections should be rejected—as it failed to object to this  
 20 Court's invitation to Mr. Carr to seek his requested relief after his divorce became final.

---

21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26

<sup>1</sup> Report of Proceedings, p. 38:12-39:4, 41:14-24.

## CONCLUSION

Given these circumstances, Mr. Carr respectfully requests that this Court vacate its order imposing a fine in this case.

DATED this 29th day of June, 2012.

**SKELLENGER BENDER, P.S.**

s/ Jeffrey C. Grant  
Jeffrey C. Grant, WSBA No. 11046  
Attorneys for Craig Carr

**DEFENDANT CRAIG CARR'S REPLY  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF HIS  
MOTION TO VACATE IMPOSITION OF FINE – 3**

12414 00101 of293632cw

skellengerbender

1301 - Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2605  
(206) 623-6501

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 29, 2012, I electronically filed Defendant Craig Carr's Reply Memorandum in Support of His Motion to Vacate Imposition of Fine and this Certificate of Service with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to Assistant United States Attorney Anastasia Bartlett.

s/ Kelli Huerta  

---

Kelli Huerta  
Legal Assistant  
Skellenger Bender, P.S.  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 623-6501  
Fax: (206) 447-1973  
Email: [khuerta@skellengerbender.com](mailto:khuerta@skellengerbender.com)

**DEFENDANT CRAIG CARR'S REPLY  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF HIS  
MOTION TO VACATE IMPOSITION OF FINE – 4**

skellengerbender

1301 - Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2605  
(206) 623-6501

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on June 29, 2012 I electronically filed Defendant Craig Carr's Reply Memorandum in Support of His Motion to Vacate Imposition of Fine and this Certificate of Service with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to Assistant United States Attorney Matthew D. Diggs.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Kelli Huerta  
Jule Sprenger  
Legal Assistant  
Skellenger Bender, P.S.  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 623-6501  
Fax: (206) 447-1973  
Email: [khuerta@skellengerbender.com](mailto:khuerta@skellengerbender.com)

**DEFENDANT CRAIG CARR'S REPLY  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF HIS  
MOTION TO VACATE IMPOSITION OF FINE – 5**

skellengerbender

1301 - Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2605  
(206) 623-6501